

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/809,612 | TWIGG, CHRISTOPHER J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | FREDRICK C. CONLEY | 3673 | |

All Participants:

(1) FREDRICK C. CONLEY.

(2) BRAIN STEINBERGER.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE AUTHORIZED THE EXAMINER TO CHANGE THE DEPENDANCY OF CLAIM 6. SEE ATTACHMENT.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)